

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/763,832 06/18/2001		Katashi Nagao	450101-02581	3748		
20999	7590 12/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LAWRENCE & HAUG VENUE- 10TH FL.	}	AZAD, ABUL K			
	C, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2654			

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/763,832	NAGAO, KATASI	HI.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
			ABUL K. AZAD	2654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.130 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply to Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contro				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Oc</i>	tober 2005.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	'							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		,					
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority		* *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•	•	eived in this National	l Stage			
	application from the Internatio		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date	0.450)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	P10/SB/08)	6) Other:	al Patent Application (PT	O-192)			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,2,4-8,10,12-20,22-26,28,30-40,42-45,47-49,51-54,56,131-133,135-139,141-152,154-158,160-171,173-178,180,181,183-188 and 190.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,2,4-8,10,12-20,22-26,28,30-40,42-45,47-49,51-54,56,131-133,135-139,141-152,154-158,160-171,173-178,180,181,183-188 and 190.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on October 4, 2005.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 12-20, 22-26, 28, 30-40, 42-45. 47-49, 51-54, 56, 131-133, 135-139, 141-152, 154-158, 160-171, 173-178, 180-181, 183-188 and 190 are pending in this action.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 12-20, 22-26, 28, 30-40, 42-45, 47-49, 51-54, 56, 131-133, 135-139, 141-152, 154-158, 160-171, 173-178, 180-181, 183-188 and 190 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-20, 22-26, 28-40, 42-45, 47-49, 51-54, 56, 131-133, 135-139, 141-152, 154-158, 160-171, 173-178, 180, 181 183-188 and 190 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Socher et al. (US 6,446,040) in view of Holm et al. (US 5,850,629).

Application/Control Number: 09/763,832

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 1, Socher teaches, "an electronic document processing apparatus for processing an electronic document", comprising:

"document inputting means fed with an electronic document" (Fig. 1, element 104);

"wherein tag information indicating the inner structure of said electronic document of a hierarchical structure having a plurality elements is added to said electronic document" (col. 2, lines 19-65)

"speech read-out data generating means for generating speech read-out data for reading out by a speech synthesizer based on said electronic document" (Fig. 1, elements 114, 116 and 118);

"wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds to said electronic document, attribute information specifying beginning position of paragraph, sentences and phrases making up the electronic document to generate said speech read-out data" (col. 7, lines 63-67).

Socher does not explicitly teach, attribute information specifying beginning position of paragraph, sentences and phrases making up the electronic document and associated pause periods. However, Holm teaches, "attribute information specifying beginning position of paragraph, sentences and phrases making up the electronic document and associated pause periods" (Fig. 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Holm's teaching in the invention of Socher because Holm teaches in invention provides

Application/Control Number: 09/763,832

Art Unit: 2654

synthesized speech with smooth and continuous sound with appropriate pauses and inflections added to simulated natural speech (col. 1, lines 6-39).

As per claim 2, Socher teaches, "wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds the tag information necessary for reading out in said speech synthesizer to said electronic document" (col. 2, lines 59-65).

As per claim 4, Socher teaches, "wherein the tag information indicating at least paragraphs, sentences and phrases, among a plurality of elements making up the electronic document, is added to the electronic document" (col. 7, lines 59-65); and

"wherein said speech read-out data generating means discriminates the paragraphs, sentences and phrases making up the electronic document based on the tag information indicating said paragraphs, sentences and phrases" (col. 2, lines 47-58).

As per claim 5, Socher teaches, "wherein the tag information necessary for reading out by said speech synthesizer is added to said electronic document" (col. 2, lines 59-65).

As per claim 6, Socher teaches, "wherein the tag information necessary for reading out by said speech synthesizer includes the attribute information for inhibiting the reading out" (col. 2, lines 37-58).

As per claim 7, Socher teaches, "wherein the tag information necessary for reading out by said speech synthesizer includes the attribute information indicating the pronunciation" (col. 2, lines 37-58).

As per claim 8, Socher teaches, "wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds to said electronic document the attribute information specifying the

language with which the electronic document is formed to generate said speech readout data" (col. 8, lines 13-14).

As per claim 10, Socher teaches, "wherein if the attribute information representing a homologous syntactic structure among the attribute information specifying the beginning positions of the paragraphs, sentences and phrases appear in succession in said electronic document, said speech read-out data generation means unifies said attribute information appearing in succession into one attribute information" (col. 3, lines 39-43 and col. 7, lines 63-67).

As per claim 11, Socher teaches, "wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds to said electronic document the attribute information specifying pause periods in association with the attribute information specifying the beginning positions of the paragraphs, sentences and phrases to generate said speech read-out data" (col. 3, lines 39-43 and col. 7, lines 63-67).

As per claim 12, Socher teaches, "wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds to said electronic document the attribute information specifying a read-out inhibited portion to generate said speech read-out data" (col. 2, lines 47-58).

As per claim 13, Socher teaches, "wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds to said electronic document the attribute information specifying the correct reading or pronunciation to generate said speech read-out data" (col. 2, lines 47-65).

As per claim 14, Socher teaches, "wherein said speech read-out data generating means adds to said electronic document the attribute information specifying the read-out sound volume to generate said speech read-out data" (Fig. 4, element 202).

Application/Control Number: 09/763,832

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 15, Scher teaches, "processing means for performing the processing suited to a speech synthesizer using said speech read-out data" (col. 3, lines 44-50);

"said processing means selecting the speech synthesizer based on the attribute information added to said speech read-out data for indicating the language with which said electronic document is formed" (col. 8, lines 13-14).

As per claim 16, Socher teaches, "processing means for performing the processing suited to a speech synthesizer using said speech read-out data" (Fig. 1);

"said processing means finding the absolute read-out sound volume based on the attribute information added to said speech read-out data indicating the read-out sound volume" (Fig. 4, element 202).

As per claim 17, Socher teaches, "document read-out means for reading said electronic document out based on said speech read-out data" (Fig. 1, element 118).

As per claim 18, Socher teaches, "wherein said document read-out means locates in terms of paragraphs, sentences and phrases making up said electronic document as unit, based on the attribute information indicating the beginning positions of said paragraphs, sentences and phrases among plural elements" (col. 3, lines 39-43 and col. 7, lines 63-67).

As per claims 19, 20, 22-26, 28-40, 42-45, 47-49, 51-54, 56, 131-133, 135-139, 141-152, 154-158, 160-171, 173-178, 180, 181 183-188 and 190 they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-18.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,832 Page 7

Art Unit: 2654

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-20, 22-26, 28-40, 42-45, 47-49, 51-54, 56, 131-133, 135-139, 141-152, 154-158, 160-171, 173-178, 180, 181 183-188 and 190 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richemond Dorvil**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7602.**

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA-22314** (Customer Service Window).

Application/Control Number: 09/763,832 Page 8

Art Unit: 2654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 14, 2005

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2654